## PROPOSALS

For Printing in Monthly Numbers,

THE

## HISTORY

OFTHEK

# POPES,

FROM St. PETER, TO BENEDICT XIII.
INCLUSIVELY.

Translated from the FRENCH.

With additional Notes and Observations by the TRANSLATOR.



#### LONDON:

Printed for John Whiston and Benjamin White in Fleet-street;
John Rivington in St. Paul's Church-yard; and
W. Payne, near the Meuse Gate.

Lain, Venery is, 1767-Panting in Mosaily Mambelly TO TO COLUMN THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE REAL PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE REAL PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF T 541



TO

## The PUBLIC.

ENSIBLE as the Translator of this Work may be, that any recommendation of it, from himself, will come before You with an ill grace, yet he thinks it is incumbent on him to give a short Account of the Nature and Design of it.

First then, it exhibits a compleat view of the Lives and Actions of the Popes in their Temporal, as well as Eccle-siastical, capacities; and consequently becomes a history of

the Court, as well as of the Church, of Rome; the History of a considerable country, but of a much more considerable series of Princes. It accurately describes the rise of their spiritual authority, and the acquisition of their temporal power; it displays their policy in conducting the one, and their crast in managing the other; exquisite in both! And we dare venture to affirm, that, such as have any taste for History; — any inclination to understand the general state of Europe for many Centuries past; — or any desire to conceive a persect idea of the public transactions, the political interests or intrigues, of the several powers in Christendom, at any one period since the Pontificate of Gregory VII. will not find their time misemployed in perusing it.

Secondly, if we confider this Work in the light of an Ecclefiastical History, we shall find it various and comprehensive, concise and impartial. The Pontificates in the dark ages of Christianity, which, from the barrenness of events that have come to our knowledge, are generally as well the driest subjects for an Author, as the most uninterest-

ing to a Reader, are here treated of, though very briefly, in the only manner that can render them instructive: Many works supposed to have been the produce of those times, are proved to be spurious, by evident marks of forgery; others are affigned to their real authors, by the just rules of Criticism and History; and immense heaps of learned rubbish are removed out of the way, that those who are disposed to acquire a competent knowledge of Ecclefiaftical History, may neither be diverted nor discouraged in their pursuit of it, but will be directed and facilitated in this branch of learning, by impartial characters of the feveral writers, who have treated of it, and critical observations upon their works. These volumes contain a fuccinct account of all the Councils, of the most eminent Saints, Fathers, &c. of the rife, progress and fate, of every feet and doctrine that has embroiled the Church, and of every cuftom and ceremony that have difguifed it. They trace error to its fource, penetrate into the dark recesses of fraud, and reveal the folemn mysteries of superstition and imposture. They shew us the primitive profesfors of Christianity procuring respect to themselves, and converts to their Religion, by the purity of their doctrine, the simplicity of their manners, and the fanctity of their lives, oppoling patience and humility to power and perfecution, with fuperiority and fuccess; but they shew us too, how their successors have impiously perverted the purest institutions to serve the purposes of ambition, avarice, and cruelty; to oppress the liberties, and engross the properties of mankind; and how they have found means to aggravate the guilt of perfecution itself, by directing it against their own brethren of the same faith.'

As to the Popes in particular, our Author shews, I. That the Universal Jurisdiction, which they lay claim to, is absolutely refuted by authentic facts. II. That their power over the Temporalities of Princes, is a most iniquitous usurpation. III. That their Infallibity is chimerical. IV. That the faithful are not obliged to pay an implicit obedience to the Popes. V. That the Popes are subject to Councils; and VI. That they did not formerly interfere in the affairs of other Bishops, over whom

they had no authority whatfoever.

As to the manner, in which our Author has executed his plan, we will present the Reader with a literal translation of an authority, extracted from

the Bibliotheque Françoise +, which is as follows.

"A good History of the Popes has long been wished for; but so difficult an undertaking has never yet been executed in such a manner, as to
become satisfactory to the Public. Some historians have treated the Popes
too savourably, or, through an excess of slattery, have commended
actions the most reprehensible, have passed wicked men, at least
fuch as are void of all Christian and moral virtues, for Saints, and
have undertaken to justify the chimerical pretensions of the Tramontanes, by ascribing to the Pontists of Rome a power and authority,
which they never received from Jesus Christ, whose Vicars they stile

themselves. Others have only studied, to blacken the Popes, and lash "them with fatire, unjustly confounding the good with the bad. The " laws of History prohibit such extremes on either side; its object is to reorefent men fuch as they were, and fidelity is, without doubt, the great " rule which a writer should propose to himself. The historian, who has " lately given us Two Volumes of the Lives of the Popes \*, appears to " us equally valuable for the learned disquisitions he has made to come " at truth, and his fincerity in relating it." [There follow near feventy pages, containing a very nice criticism upon the two first volumes, which concludes thus; ] " What we have been faying is fufficient to give a fa-" yourable idea of The History of the Popes, and of the character of the "Author. It is fo rare a thing to meet with truth in works of this kind. the writers of which are generally influenced by a spirit of party, that " the Public ought to rejoice at finding it in a history, where fincerity is " no less conspicuous than erudition, and the propriety of the re-" flections". - After producing a testimony of such weight, we shall only take notice, that no facts are advanced throughout this Work, but on

the best authorities, which are always referred to.

It still remains for the Translator to give some account of his own undertaking. - His motives for it were, the advice of men of judgment, and the utility he imagined might accrue to his country, by rendering this Work familiar to his countrymen; as he prefumed it might prove the means of fecuring many from falling into the errors of Popery, that may be induced, not to fay allured, to read a history interspersed with curious anecdotes, and just reflections; who would not easily be persuaded, perhaps not thoroughly qualified, to follow feveral of our great authors, thro' a concatenation of fine arguments: — As he thought it might be of great use to many of the Romish Communion, who are seldom disposed to reafon on the subject of their Religion, otherwise than from authorities; and it cannot be doubted but that many of them will have the candour, as well as the easy opportunity, of looking into the discipline and institutions of their Church, as fet forth, not only by Protestants, but by the ablest writers of their own side. He hoped likewise, that it would not prove unedifying, or unentertaining, to the more learned, whether in Ecclefiaftical or General History; especially as there is not a compleat, or even tolerable one of the kind besides this; which, as being the best history of an European State, must be allowed to be a capital performance, and a very interesting one, when we consider what that State is. - And one great utility he proposed was, that of introducing our students in Divinity to a readier and more familiar acquaintance with this kind of hiftory, which, from the intricacy of the fubject, as well as the prolixity or partiality of the writers, could not be obtained without a great expense of time and study, and has been often attempted with a considerable waste of both.

<sup>\*</sup> Meaning the Two first Volumes of this Work; which were published at the Hague in the year 1732.

As to the Translation, he has endeavoured to give it the air of an Original, whilst he has observed the strictest fidelity to his Author. He believes he has sufficient knowledge of the language, not to mistake the sense of it: He is sure he has honesty enough not to pervert it. In short, he has spared neither time nor care to make the author speak as he thought, that is, like a good Englishman.

The references he has collated, and, where necessary, corrected,

The notes, which he has added, are diftinguished by afterisms. He has not industriously sought occasions of multiplying them: sometimes they seemed necessary by way of illustration, or criticism. They consist of short extracts from the most approved writers, and such concise reasonings as appeared to be apposite; and it is hoped, upon the whole, are such as will not sink the value of the Translation below that of the Original.

Thus much he thought necessary, in order to give some idea of the Work; but the merits of it must be determined from the performance itself, which will shortly be submitted to the Public, in that considence, which always attends the consciousness of an attempt to serve them; and that satisfaction, which must accompany every act, that is calculated to advance Truth, and promote useful Knowledge.

#### POSTSCRIPT.

The Translator was upon the point of fending the foregoing to the Press, when it was strongly insisted on, that some notice must be taken of the Author; which indeed had been done in its proper place, but that, out of respect to the Public, he was determined to be filent on that head, till he should be in possession of such authentic vouchers, as he has asfurances of receiving from abroad. However, it was not thought proper to defer the publication of fo useful a Work, as the present is, for want of fuch lights, as had not the least tendency to improve the mind or morals of the Reader, but were merely matters of curiofity. The Translator therefore, for the present, will only observe, that the Author evidently appears to have been a Jansenist, from pag. 166. Vol. I. where he takes particular care to let us know, that, notwithstanding his animadverfions upon the treatise de Natura & Gratia, which he calls the buckler of Jansenism, he is not the less a Jansenist, but one, from different principles than what are therein contained. We will produce the passage, because it has been fo strangely mistaken, as to occasion conclusions to be drawn directly contrary to the fense of it. "Those who may be surprised to hear me talk at this rate, and who know that the world does me the honour to reckon me a Jansenist, will cease to wonder when they shall find, in "the life of Clement XI. that if I reject the Bull Unigenitus, if I look upon it with horror and indignation, it is for very different reasons from those, " that have been hitherto affigned." Notwithstanding this, and that the fingular number is made use of throughout the Work, (as is common in

many that are the joint produce of several hands,) we agree in the general opinion, and by far the most prevailing one abroad, that this was compiled by a society of the fansenists in France, who industriously concealed their names, lest they might become obnoxious to the merciles hand of Eccle-staffical power, in that country. These Gentlemen, actuated solely by a zeal for the cause of Religion, and the service of mankind, would not suffer this their anonymous offspring to perish, because, for that reason, they could derive no credit from it, but possibly might, as is commonly imagined, entrust the care of it's production to one Mr. Brueys, who at that time resided at the Hague; and from hence a notion very naturally took its rise, that he was not only the Editor of the Work, but likewise the Author, as no other person appeared to have any concern in it.

The reasons that have induced some Jesuits in France to propagate this opinion, may easily be guessed; they sound it not for their interest to meddle with the Work itself; it was fraught with stubborn truths; it abounded with authorities, to which they had often appealed; their own arms were turned against them; Mr. Brueys was dead, and could not answer for himself, the real authors dared not: his character therefore was first to be traduced, and then he was to be set up for the Author; and by the help of a small jesuitical inversion of common sense, we were to condemn the Work upon account of the Author, that is, to judge of

the fruit by the tree.

Though the Translator is not yet able to name, with certainty, the very persons to whom the world is indebted for this History, he thinks it cannot be with justice ascribed to Mr. Brueys, who was born in the year 1708. and the Work appeared compleat in 1734. when, consequently, he was but twenty-fix years old; an age, at which it can hardly be imagined he could have amaffed fuch a variety of knowledge, digefted it so maturely, and reduced it into so clear and regular a system; much less still, if we consider his diffipations, and avocations from pursuing the necessary studies for fuch a task, for he set out upon his travels in 1727. (being in his 19th year,) to Geneva first, and afterwards to Holland, where he was employed upon a periodical work, under the title of Critique définteressée des Journaux Litteraires, et des Ouvrages des Sçavans, published at the Hague in 1730. in which year he was also in London, and the impression of this History was begun before April 1731. What makes it still clearer, that Brueys was not the Author of this Work, is a passage in the Work itself (Vol. I. p. 224. of the original, and in the beginning of our third Number) where he himself is taken notice of, at the end of an observation upon the intemperate zeal of new converts, in these words, viz. " The conduct of Brueys, and Pelisson, justifies what I advance, and it would be to no " purpose to produce farther proofs of it."

### CONDITIONS.

- I. THIS Work will be printed on the same Letter and Paper as these Proposals; and the whole will be comprized in Five Volumes Quarto, as in the Original.
- II. Each Volume will make about Six Numbers, one of which containing Thirteen Sheets fewed in blue Paper, will be published every Month at the Price of Two Shillings and Six-pence.
- III. The first Number will be published on the Twenty-fifth of March next, and the succeeding Numbers will be continued regularly, until the whole is finished.
- IV. That the Public may be affured this Work will not exceed what is proposed, the Contents of each Volume are here specified:
- Vol. I.

  II.

  ST. PETER,
  STEPHEN V.

  STEPHEN V.

  EUGENE IH.
  JOHN XXIII.
  Pius V.

  STEPHEN V.

  BUGENE IH.
  JOHN XXIII.
  Pius V.

  Lucius II. CLXXII. Pope - 1145.
  ALEXANDER V. CCXII. Pope 1565.
  BENEDICT XIII. CCLIV. Pope 1730.
- V. Such Persons as are inclined to encourage this Work, are desired to send in their Names and Places of Abode, to either of the Booksellers mentioned in the Title, and the Numbers will be regularly left at their Houses as soon as they are published.
- N. B. The Title Page, with a beautiful Engraving by Mr. Ravenet, will be delivered with the last Number of the First Volume.



